



0000153517

Tel

E-01345A-13-0069

From: John Lee <leezureacres@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 17, 2014 5:25 AM
To: BitterSmith-Web
Subject: RE:# E-01345-14-0113
Attachments: May 17.docx ACC NEW EVIDENCE.docx


Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

ORIGINAL

Would you please see that this is forwarded to Mr. Olea, and the other commissioners ,as i don't have access to a printer where i am staying.
i am away from home with my wife ,who is under going chemo therapy for bladder cancer
Thank you John Lee

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

MAY 22 2014

DOCKETED BY 

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

2014 MAY 22 P 1:47

RECEIVED

May 17, 2014

John Lee

1514 W. Maverick Ln.

Williams, Az. 86046

RE: # E-01345-14-0113

Arizona Corporation Commission

Utilities Division-Sтивен Olea, Director

1200 W. Washington St.

Phoenix,az.85007

Dear Mr. Olea:

In reference to my letter of May 10, 2014, and the admission that has just come to light that the smart meters are indeed a surveillance device ,contrary to APS many claims that it was just a myth.

1st of all I spoke with the renter this week, whom I had at the time a smart meter was placed at our rental at 1482 maverick Ln,Williams az. 86046. Dr. Dan Jacoby, a veterinarian in Williams. I asked him if APS had ever asked him if he wanted a Smart Meter, or told him anything about the meter. He replied no. I also asked him if he had requested a Smart Meter be installed at his then, rental address, to which he also replied no.

Yet APS told me when the rental was vacant that the analog meter could be put back at that residence while I was paying the utilities, but if our next renter wanted a smart meter then they would have to re install one.

This tells me that aps is not asking the residents whether they want a smart meter, but rather just putting them in, then in cases like mine, acting as though they always ask first.

Lastly, I think enough evidence has now surfaced that myth vs fact on APS part has been proven to be nothing short of lying and misleading the public, whereas appropriate, and accountable, actions should be taken, as would be, in any similar case.

I have seen times when this program should have been suspended, pending more research, but instead it appears that more weight was given to the utility without valid reason.

I hope now we can restore confidence in the Ariz, Corporation Commission by looking at the (true facts) and being accountable on behalf of the citizens of this great State.

Sincerely,

John Lee resident

Taxpayer

Ratepayer

May 10, 2014

Jonn Lee

1514 W. Maverick Ln.

Williams, Az. 86046

RE # E-01345-14-0113

Arizona Corporation Commission

Utilities Division- Steven Olea Director

1200 W. Washington St.

Phoenix, Ariz. 85007

Dear Mr. Olea:

I have written to the Arizona Corp. Commission on several occasions regarding issues of this complaint. I received a reply once in what i would term as a smirk attitude.

It is becoming increasingly clear that this office has one interest in mind ,and it doesn't involve the safety and well being of the general public.

this is based on several factors that have been continually ignored by the ACC

1. I spent a lot of time gathering signatures around the community where i live (Junipine Estates) asking for a moratorium on the installation of these smart meters as to the growing controversy of them catching fire , health issues ranging from nausea headaches heart palpitations insomnia ect. which APS explains away as myth with an unsigned dime store brochure that wouldn't even begin to hold up in a court of law.

What i had requested from the ACC was a signed statement of proof assurance by APS head official that these meters pose none of the above dangers. (no response) This is what roughly 100 concerned residents of this community got for signing the petition.

2. The ACC finally agreed to do a year long study on the potential of health and safety danger to the public from these meters ,while at the same time, granting APS permission to continue installing the

meters, before the outcome of this study. What kind of competence is this?

3.APS claims that people can opt out if they choose. That is admirable, if it weren't for the fact that when i was doing the petition drive, i ran into scores of people who didn't know what a smart meter was , didn't know it had even been tnsalled on their property until i showed it to them, or even aware of the potential for health and safety issues.

4.I believe the Az. Corp. Comm. was established to regulate and oversee the safety of the public on situations such as this. Instead it seems they are more concerned with the utilities profit margin.5.There is presently no law i know of that says you have to have these meters, much less pay a fee to opt out of a program that you never had a choice to opt into in the first place.

5. APS just took it on themselves to start changing these meters without even asking the people if they wanted one.

I recently had a smart meter on my rental next door to my house removed, and an analog meter reinstalled when the rental was vacant, and i was paying the utilities there. I didn't want the smart meter there in the 1st place ,but i was told that, only the renter could make that choice because they pay the utilities there.

That residence is deeded to my wife and i,We pay the property taxes,I feel it is my obligation to assure to the people i rent to that every thing on that property is safe.

I can't do that if APS is allowed to overstep that authority. Therefore ,before there is ever a smart meter installed on that property again, i want what i requested from the ACC (.Proof Assurance) A legal and binding signed statement from the head official in APS stating that that smart meter will pose no threat to my renters concerning health issues ,fire danger or privacy violations ,or the current analog meter stays in place.

Sincerely,

John Lee

cc:Gov, Jan Brewer

1700 W. Washington St.

Phoenix, Ariz. 85007